



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/773,346

02/09/2004

John C. Jaeger

21375

6802

7590 07/16/2007
Peter N. Lalos, Esq.
Kenneth J. Whittington, Esq.
Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher, LLP
1615 L Street, NW, Suite 850
Washington, DC 20036-5622

EXAMINER

RAMANA, ANURADHA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3733

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/16/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10773346	2/9/2004	JAEGER, JOHN C.	21375

Peter N. Lalos, Esq.
Kenneth J. Whittington, Esq.
Stevens, Davis, Miller & Mosher, LLP
1615 L Street, NW, Suite 850
Washington, DC 20036-5622

EXAMINER

Anu Ramana

ART UNIT	PAPER
3733	20070709

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on April 9, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant should specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the claims (MPEP 714.02 and 2163.06). Due to the procedure outlined in MPEP 2163.06 for interpreting claims, it is noted that other art may be applicable under 35 USC 102 of 35 USC 103(a) once the aforementioned issue(s) is/are addressed. See 37 CFR 1.111. The patentable novelty of new claim 32 in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited has not been pointed out (MPEP § 714.03).

It is also noted that in claim 1, line 7, the phrase "each blade is pivotally in a plane" renders the claim vague and indefinite since the structural cooperation of the blade with the frame is unclear.

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Anuradha Ramana
ANURADHA RAMANA
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700